Interview Summary	10/691,828	RANDALL, STEVEN PAUL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ha D. Ho	3681	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>Ha D. Ho</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Mr. Hienz</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 26 October 2005.			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: 1, 21 and 22 (and method claims).			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Bowen'878</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) \boxtimes was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A.			
reached, or any other comments: Agreed that Bowen'878 does not show the claimed features in claim 1 and the proposed claims 21 and 22. Examiner will consider further for the claimed feature, e.g., "electric machine for increasing torque of the output shaft". Further, Examiner will consider the method claims to see if they are parallel to the apparatus claims to withdraw the restriction. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
	HAH PRIMARY E AU 3681	XAMINER	26/05
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	nature, if required	t

Application No.

Applicant(s)